

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcasscins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,081	09/19/2005	Aster De Schrijver	05261-PCT-PA	1440	
72468 7590 9672472099 HODES, PESSIN & KATZ , P.A 901 DULANEY VALLEY ROAD , SUITE 400			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			NGO, LIEN M		
BALTIMORE	, MD 21204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550.081 DE SCHRIJVER, ASTER Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LIEN TM NGO 3754 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-8.10.11 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 4-8, 10, 11 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/550,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/3/09 has been entered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood:

In page 3, lines 27-33, Fig. 2 is described a grommet of the invention comprising a <u>part 1A being non-thermolastic rubber</u> and a part 1B being thermoplastic material. However, in page 4, lines 5-11, figs. 4 a&b is illustrated a dual injection technique for the grommet comprising the <u>part 1A being injected with a thermoplastic rubber</u>, the part 1B being injected with elastomer such as Thefsin (also being a thermoplastic rubber).

Drawings

 Figures 1a and 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected Art Unit: 3754

drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1, 10, 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soffer et al. (2,831,681). Soffer et al. discloses, in fig. 2 and 3, a valve for a container, which is capable of dispensing a polyurethane foam, adapted to be in contact with the container and the contents of the container comprising a grommet disposed around a stem 22 of the valve, the grommet having at least one part 14 made of non-thermoplastic rubber adhering to another part 28 made of a thermoplastic material (hard rigid plastic such as polyethylene, see col. 2, lines 66-65), wherein said another part is located such as to be, at least partly, in contact with the content of the container.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,081 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 4 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Soffer et al..

In regard to claim 4, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to make grommet in the invention of Soffer et al. from EPDM

as the non-thermoplastic rubber part and Trefsin or Santoprene as thermoplastic material part.

since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known

material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice

(In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).

In regard to claim 17, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to make the Soffer et al grommet from

a dual injection technique in order to form one piece of an article which has

formerly formed in two pieces and put together

It has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly formed in

two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard $\mbox{\ensuremath{v}}.$

Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S 164 (1893).

 Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soffer et al. further in view of Shimizu et al. (JP 2000-109748).

A silicone base position is using for coating a surface of sealing material, which is well known in the art, as taught by Shimizu et al., for water resistance, air tightness, etc.; therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the grommet of Softer et al. with silicone base composition, as taught by Shimizu et al., in order to enhance the sealing property of the grommet.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-8, 10, 11 and 15-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM

-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,081 Page 6

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LIEN TM NGO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754

June 17, 2009